#### WO

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

١,
٧/

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	David	l Casir	niro Bravo-Cuevas	Case Number:	CR-02-01002-001-PHX-SRB		
	ordance v tablished		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	e(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts		
		clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant adding trial in this case.					
		y a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending ial in this case.					
			PARTI	FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed					
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.					
			an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 9	24(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).			
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2 imprisonment of ten years or mo	2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crin re is prescribed.	nes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of		
			an offense involving a minor victi	m prescribed in	1		
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.					
			Alt	ernative Findings			
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.					
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.					
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).					
	(4)						
			PART II WRITTEN STAT	EMENT OF REASONS FO	OR DETENTION		
	(1)		nat the credible testimony and inforr langer that:	mation submitted at the hear	ring establish by clear and convincing evidence		

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

## Case 2:02-cr-01002-SRB Document 65 Filed 01/23/09 Page 2 of 2

	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:						
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.						
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.						
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.						
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.						
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.						
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of						
	The de	fendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:						
×	In addi <u>The de</u>	tion:  fendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of supervised release.						
	-							
	-							
time of		ourt incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the ring in this matter.						
		PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION						
appeal. of the L	ctions fa . The de Jnited S	Ifendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in cility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending fendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a courtates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the e United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.						
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE						
Court. service	a copy of Pursual of a co	RDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to if the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date opy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.						
	es suffici	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria tently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.						
Date:	Já	Michelle H. Burns						

United States Magistrate Judge